REPORT ON THE 2024 RESULTS OF THE

OBSERVATOIRE
DE LA DIGNITÉ HUMAINE

– CLIPSAS: DURRÉS AG, MAY 2024 –

Rapporteur and Editor:

Damien CHARITAT

ENGLISH

S U M M A R Y

INTRODUCTION

This report of the ODH is part of the changes undertaken since the last General Assembly to begin a rapprochement between the ODH and Ethics.

It also makes a strong connection to the theme of this year's conference:

"**Freemasonry and Artificial Intelligence.**
The World to Come."

It also offers a new experience to all the participants present at this 63rd General Assembly of CLIPSAS, by allowing them to be actors *in situ* and to propose their positions in real time during the exceptional administration of the 10 criteria and the general indicator, during the General Assembly.

This experiment also aims to confront the positions and justifications proposed by the Freemasons representing their Obedience at the CLIPSAS General Assembly with those of three artificial intelligences to which the reports of the previous years have been communicated.

As a reminder, in 2017 the ODH first defined the central notion of human dignity on the basis of ten criteria. It intends that the latter should be based on the criteria of food security (1), the restoration of the great natural balances (2), respect for the right to security (3), the effectiveness of the fight against corruption (4), respect for the right to health (5), respect for the right to culture and education (6), respect for the right to memory and history (7), respect for the right to equality between women and men (8), respect for freedom of conscience (9) and the resurgence of sectarianism (10). These criteria are "*directly inspired by those defined by the United Nations*" (CHARITAT, ODH report 2017, p.42).

The state of human dignity in a given territory is then considered by the ODH to be impaired when the first nine criteria are not ensured and the last one exists.

This year, the materials used to obtain the results are made up of the content of the answers provided by three AIs (Chat GPT, Claude and Mistral), as well as by the Freemasons interviewed during the CLIPSAS General Assembly. Aware of the risks of bias, this exercise is nevertheless decisive in proposing improvements in the future.

Each of the ten criteria constituting the notion of human dignity raises questions about its evolution, in terms of regression, stability and progression.

The content of this report is presented at the 63rd General Assembly of CLIPSAS (17 and 18 May 2023, at DURRÉS).

CRITERIA STRUCTURING THE NOTION OF HUMAN DIGNITY

1: Food security

2: Restoration of the great natural balances

3: Respect for the right to security

4: Effectiveness of the fight against corruption

5: Respect for the right to health

6: Respect for the right to culture and education

7: Respect for the right to memory and history

8: Respect for the right to equality between women and men

9: Respect for freedom of conscience

10: Sectarian upsurge

LEGEND

A. Question 1 to 9

Regression   Stability   Progression

B. Question 10

Regression   Stability   Progression

2024 RESULTS – AI POSITIONS

AI is gaining momentum. We wondered what three of them (Chat GPT, Claude and Mistral) would be able to produce if we substituted them for us, Freemasons, in order to probe the criteria of human dignity.

Several weeks ago, if not a month ago, three RNs were trained (definition of a role, communication of previous reports, etc.) to answer the questionnaire of the Observatory of Human Dignity, i.e. the 10 criteria historically defined for measuring and appreciating human dignity in the world and the general indicator consisting of a summary score out of 5.

The AIs were consulted on 1 May 2024, with the first identical question asked to all of them: [relevant period from June 2023 to May 2024]

A few weeks ago, you answered the question: "For each of the 10 criteria, explain your choice (regression or stability or progression). Justifies the reasons for each choice. ». Update your answers.

Here is a summary of the general positions for each of the criteria:

Let us now review the positions of the AIs, for each of the criteria.

Q1 \ Do you see the maintenance of subsistence elements for populations in difficulty?

With regard to food safety, the AIs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Progression	Stability	Progression

Chat GPT and Mistral measure this criterion with the same value. Claude, no.

For a better reading of the proposed justifications, we will group them by similarity now and for the rest of this report.

A. Justification(s) for answer *Progress*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Advances in sustainable agriculture, food technology and food aid programmes have reduced the number of hungry people in many areas.

Mistral justifies this position by:

Food security continues to improve, with sustained efforts to strengthen food systems and sustainable agriculture. However, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a negative impact on the food security of many people, especially in low-income countries.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Claude justifies this position by:

Despite these efforts, armed conflict, poverty and climate change will continue to weigh on the global food situation.

C. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
26 %	32 %	42 %

Q2 \ Are you seeing any measures aimed at restoring the great natural balances?

With regard to restoring the great natural balances, the IAs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Progression	Regression

None of the three AIs measure this criterion with the same value.

A. Justification(s) for answer *Progress*

Claude justifies this position by:

Investments in renewable energy and citizen pressure are expected to lead to timid progress.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Despite conservation efforts, deforestation, biodiversity loss and pressures on ecosystems continue, keeping the situation in a state of relative stability.

C. Justification(s) for answer *Regression*

Mistral justifies this position by:

The global environmental situation continues to deteriorate, with persistent challenges such as deforestation, biodiversity loss and climate change. Increased efforts are needed to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals and preserve ecosystems.

D. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
25 %	19 %	57 %

Q3 \ Is the right to security respected?

Regarding respect for the right to safety, the IAs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Regression	Regression

Chat GPT stands out here for its singular measurement, while Claude and Mistral measure this criterion with the same value.

A. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Although progress has been made in some countries, challenges such as terrorism and cybercrime keep the situation in a state of relative stability.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Regression*

Claude justifies this position by:

Geopolitical tensions and violence persist and escalate in some regions.

Mistral justifies this position by:

The security situation has deteriorated in some parts of the world, with an increase in armed conflict, terrorism and human rights violations. Challenges related to migration, forced displacement and border management also persist.

C. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
11 %	15 %	74 %

Q4 \ Is the fight against corruption effective?

With regard to the effectiveness of the fight against corruption, the AIs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Stability	Stability

This is the first criterion for which AIs all agree!

A. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Despite efforts to combat corruption, corruption remains a major problem in many countries, keeping the situation in a state of relative stability.

Claude justifies this position by:

Some localized progress, but corruption remains a deep-rooted scourge on a global scale.

Mistral justifies this position by:

Progress has been made in some countries in the fight against corruption, but significant challenges persist in others. International cooperation and efforts to strengthen transparency and accountability remain key to the fight against corruption.

B. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
19 %	12 %	69 %

Q5 \ Is the right to health respected?

Regarding respect for the right to health, the IAs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Progression	Regression

For the second time, after having all agreed on the position regarding the previous criterion, for this criterion, as for the second, none of the AIs agrees!

Claude remains the most optimistic and Mistral the most pessimistic in its positioning. Chat GPT remains measured.

A. Justification(s) for answer *Progress*

Claude justifies this position by:

The lesson of the pandemic and a better allocation of resources allow for ad hoc improvements.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Although medical advances have been made, inequalities in access to health care and global health challenges keep the situation in a state of relative stability...

C. Justification(s) for answer *Regression*

Mistral justifies this position by:

The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed the weaknesses of health systems around the world and has had a negative impact on access to healthcare and the overall health of populations. More efforts are needed to strengthen health systems and ensure universal access to health care.

D. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
25 %	21 %	54 %

Q6 \ Is the right to culture and education respected?

Regarding respect for the right to culture and education, the IAs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Progression	Stability	Stability

The AIs find their disagreement again in a two-on-one confrontation.

A. Justification(s) for answer *Progress*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Progress in access to education and culture, as well as the preservation of cultural heritage, has been observed in many regions.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Claude justifies this position by:

The lack of public investment in this area is beginning to weigh on some countries.

Mistral justifies this position by:

Although progress has been made in some countries in terms of access to education and culture, significant challenges remain, particularly in countries affected by conflict and crisis. The COVID-19 pandemic has also had a negative impact on education, with schools closing and learning interrupted.

C. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
30 %	30 %	40 %

OBSERVATOIRE
DE LA DIGNITÉ HUMAINE

Q7 \ Is the right to memory and history respected?

Regarding respect for the right to memory and history, the AIs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Regression	Stability

A. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Although efforts are made to preserve memory and history, conflicts and historical denials keep the situation in a state of relative stability.

Mistral justifies this position by:

Efforts are being made to preserve and promote memory and history, but challenges persist in some countries, particularly with regard to the recognition of genocide and crimes against humanity.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Regression*

Claude justifies this position by:

Denial of historical facts and disinformation are spreading, threatening this fundamental right.

C. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
18 %	34 %	48 %

Q8 \ Is the right to equality between men and women respected?

With regard to gender equality, the AIs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Progression	Progression	Progression

For a second time, the AIs are all in agreement!

A. Justification(s) for answer *Progress*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Despite persistent challenges, progress has been made in promoting gender equality and empowering women in many areas.

Claude justifies this position by:

Progress continues at a slower pace in the face of stubborn socio-cultural resistance.

Mistral justifies this position by:

Progress has been made in promoting gender equality and combating gender-based discrimination. However, disparities persist in many areas, including access to education, employment and decision-making.

B. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
44 %	30 %	26 %

Q9 \ Is freedom of conscience respected?

With regard to freedom of conscience, the IAs' positions are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Stability	Stability

For a third time, the AIs are all in agreement!

A. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

Attacks on freedom of conscience persist in some areas, but progress has been made in others, keeping the situation in a state of relative stability.

Claude justifies this position by:

Some governments are hardening their stance in the face of societal demands.

Mistral justifies this position by:

The situation regarding freedom of conscience remains variable across countries, with progress made in some countries and persistent challenges in others. The promotion and protection of freedom of conscience and religion remains essential to guarantee human dignity.

B. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
27 %	15 %	58 %

Q10 \ Are you seeing a resurgence of sectarianism?

With regard to the sectarian upsurge, the positions of the IAs are distributed as follows:

Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Regression	Stability	Regression

The AIs find their disagreement again in a two-on-one confrontation.

A. Justification(s) for answer *Regression*

Chat GPT justifies this position by:

The rise of extremism and sectarian conflicts in several parts of the world indicates a regressive trend in this area.

Mistral justifies this position by:

Sectarian resurgence continues to be a concern in many parts of the world, with sectarian groups exerting a negative influence on individuals and communities. Greater efforts are needed to combat this phenomenon and protect individual rights and freedoms.

B. Justification(s) for answer *Stability*

Claude justifies this position by:

This phenomenon does not mark a real decline despite a growing awareness in some countries.

C. Voting position at the 63rd AG

Progression	Stability	Regression
55 %	9 %	36 %

INITIAL FINDINGS

In view of the above, it must be noted that the AIs all agreed on only 3 out of 10 criteria:

- No. 4 on the effectiveness of the fight against corruption;

- No. 8 concerning respect for the right to equality between women and men;

- No. 9 concerning respect for freedom of conscience.

The AIs were twice in total disagreement, for the:

- No. 2 concerning the restoration of the great natural balances;

- No. 5 concerning respect for the right to health.

For the other 5 criteria, they opposed each other as follows:

- Chat GPT + Mistral versus Claude for the criteria:

  o No. 1 on food security;

  o No. 7 concerning respect for the right to memory and history;

  o No. 10 concerning the resurgence of sectarianism.

- Claude + Mistral versus Chat GPT for the criteria:

  o No. 3 concerning respect for the right to security;

  o No. 6 on respect for the right to culture and education.

How then can we explain that AIs are only in total agreement with 30% of the criteria?

The answer requires consulting them by asking them the following question:

"*Give several examples illustrating each of your new choices and justifying your comment.*"

Here are the answers from each of the RNs, for comparison:

Q1 \ Do you see the maintenance of subsistence elements for populations in difficulty?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Progression	Stability	Progression
The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) reports a decrease in the number of undernourished people worldwide in recent years, thanks to sustainable agriculture and food distribution programs. Rationale: Investments in sustainable agriculture and food aid programs have improved access to adequate food for many populations, leading to an increase in food security.	Persistent famine in the Horn of Africa due to conflict and drought. Slowdown in agricultural production in Ukraine due to war. Impact of Pakistan floods on harvests and food insecurity.	The UN's Zero Hunger initiative aims to eliminate hunger and malnutrition by 2030, by strengthening food systems and promoting sustainable agriculture. The World Food Program (WFP) has provided food assistance to millions of people around the world, helping to improve food security.

Q2 \ Are you seeing any measures aimed at restoring the great natural balances?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	**Slight progression**	**Regression**
Despite the continuing loss of tropical forests, reforestation and habitat conservation initiatives have been launched, maintaining the situation in a state of relative stability. Rationale: Conservation and reforestation efforts have mitigated deforestation in some regions, keeping the overall situation in a state of relative stability.	New investments in renewable energies in India and China. Reforestation efforts in Latin America to counter deforestation. Increased citizen mobilization, such as climate marches.	Forest fires in the Amazon, Australia and other parts of the world have led to a massive loss of biodiversity and contributed to climate change. The construction of infrastructure projects, such as dams and roads, has negative impacts on ecosystems and local communities.

Q3 \ Is the right to security respected?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	**Regression**	**Regression**
Crime levels remain relatively stable in many regions, despite persistent challenges such as urban violence. Rationale: Although challenges remain, prevention and law enforcement measures are keeping the overall situation in a state of relative stability.	Escalating conflict in Ethiopia threatens civilian populations. Recurrent inter-community violence in the Central African Republic. Heightened tensions in the Taiwan Strait, raising fears of confrontation.	Armed conflicts in Syria, Yemen, Libya and other countries have led to massive human rights violations and forced population displacement. The increase in terrorist attacks in certain regions of the world, notably sub-Saharan Africa, has had a negative impact on security and stability.

Q4 \ Is the fight against corruption effective?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	**Stability**	**Stability**
Although corruption remains a problem, investigations and prosecutions of corrupt officials have resulted in convictions in several countries. Rationale: Despite the persistence of corruption, legislative reform and enforcement efforts are keeping the situation in a state of relative stability.	Persistent corruption scandals in Brazil and South Africa. Some legislative progress in Eastern Europe. But corruption is endemic in many poor countries.	Investigations and prosecutions have been launched in some countries, such as Brazil and South Korea, to combat corruption and hold those responsible to account. However, allegations of corruption continue to plague many governments and institutions, hampering development efforts and good governance.

SECTION

Q5 \ Is the right to health respected?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	**Moderate progression**	**Regression**
Vaccination rates remain relatively stable in many countries, despite the challenges associated with access to healthcare in rural areas. Rationale: Although improvements have been made in some areas of health, inequalities persist, keeping the situation in a state of relative stability.	Expanded vaccination programs in some African countries. Improved access to healthcare in the Middle East thanks to international aid. But shortages of medicines and healthcare personnel in other regions.	The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the weaknesses of healthcare systems worldwide, with shortages of personnel, equipment and medicines. Inequalities in access to healthcare and vaccines were highlighted during the pandemic, with disparities between rich and poor countries.

Q6 \ Is the right to culture and education respected?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Progression	Stability / Slight regression	Stability
Increasing school enrolment rates for girls in developing countries, through free and compulsory education programs. Justification: Investments in education and the promotion of cultural diversity have contributed to an increase in access to culture and education.	Digitization of education progressing, but teacher shortages. Tribal schools closing in the USA for lack of funding. Some countries cut cultural budgets in times of austerity.	Efforts have been made to promote access to education and culture in some countries, notably through free schooling programs and initiatives to preserve cultural heritage. However, armed conflicts and humanitarian crises continue to hamper access to education and culture in many parts of the world.

Q7 \ Is the right to memory and history respected?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Regression	Stability
Annual commemorations of historical events maintain collective memory in many societies, despite attempts at revisionism. Rationale: Although attempts to deny or manipulate history persist, commemorations and preservation efforts keep the situation in a state of relative stability.	Questioning of the Armenian and Rwandan genocides. Rewriting of history textbooks in Russia and Hungary. Destruction of historical heritage during armed conflicts.	Initiatives have been launched to recognize and commemorate genocide and crimes against humanity, such as the International Holocaust Remembrance Day and the International Day for the Remembrance of the Slave Trade and its Abolition. However, challenges persist in some countries, notably with regard to the recognition of the Armenian and Rwandan genocides.

Q8 \ Is the right to equality between men and women respected?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Progression	Very slow progression	Progression
The adoption of gender equality laws in several countries, guaranteeing women equal access to education and employment opportunities. Rationale: Despite persistent challenges, significant progress has been made in promoting gender equality in many areas.	New law on equal pay adopted in Switzerland. But burial of girls alive persists in rural India. Very low political representation of women in many countries.	Progress has been made in promoting gender equality and combating discrimination, notably through the adoption of gender-friendly laws and policies. Social movements such as #MeToo and #TimesUp have helped to raise public awareness of gender equality issues and encourage change.

Q9 \ Is freedom of conscience respected?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Stability	Stability / Slight regression	Stability
While restrictions on religious freedom remain in some countries, others have strengthened legal protections for religious minorities. Justification: Measures to protect freedom of conscience have maintained the situation in a state of relative stability, despite persistent challenges in some regions.	New restrictions on abortion rights in the United States. Bans on wearing religious symbols at work in France. Persecution of atheists and apostates in some Muslim countries.	Progress has been made in some countries with regard to freedom of conscience and religion, notably through the adoption of laws and policies favorable to religious freedom. However, challenges persist in other countries, notably with regard to the persecution of religious minorities and restrictions on freedom of conscience.

Q10 \ Are you seeing a resurgence of sectarianism?

Examples provided by		
Chat GPT	Claude	Mistral
Regression	Stability / Slight progression	Regression
Increasing acts of sectarian violence in several regions, such as attacks on religious or ethnic minorities. Rationale: The rise in extremism and intercommunity tensions indicates a regression in respect for fundamental rights and social cohesion.	Sporadic anti-Christian violence in Pakistan and Nigeria. Rising Hindu extremism in India targeting Muslims. But repression of Corsican separatism stepped up in France.	Sectarian groups such as the Shebab in Somalia and Boko Haram in Nigeria continue to threaten security and human rights in some parts of the world. Efforts have been made to combat sectarian resurgence, notably through deradicalization and social reintegration programs, but significant challenges remain.

First of all, it is reassuring to note that the examples on which the positioning proposals are based differ according to the AIs. Contrary to popular rumor, there is therefore no common source for every AI.

If a common source existed, then all the positions taken would have to be the same, but also all the sources and examples taken would be the same.

A remark is necessary here and therefore points to the reliability of AIs as to their choice, based on sources and information that may differ... and can, if there is manipulation or misuse of sources, lead to biased positions and justifications.

If the AIs have not been able to agree on all the criteria, will they do so for the general indicator that we have introduced at the ODH in the last two years?

General indicator

As a reminder, the general indicator consists of a score out of 5, aimed at synthesizing all the 10 criteria that make up the measurement of Human Dignity, in order to also extract an easy-to-read and "modern" visual for the younger generations.

As a result of the consultations to obtain the RNs' positions on the 10 criteria, the following question was asked of each of them:

Gives an overall summary score out of 5 and explains the reasons for this choice.

Here are their answers:

Claude	Mistral	Stability
3	2	2,8

Again, the disparities appear and can be explained by the reasons on which each of the AIs relies to set this score.

Here are the reasons for their respective choices:

A. Chat GPT

After reviewing the updates and examples, I would give an overall summary score of 3 out of 5. Here are the reasons for this choice:

1. Significant progress in some areas
   Several criteria showed significant progress, including respect for the right to education and culture, as well as gender equality. Examples such as increasing girls' enrolment in school and adopting gender equality laws illustrate this progress.

2. Stability in most areas
   Most of the criteria remain stable, with modest but steady progress. For example, food security and anti-corruption have shown gradual improvements, while health and safety are maintained at relatively constant levels.

3. Regression in some critical areas
   Unfortunately, regressive tendencies are observed in areas such as the sectarian resurgence. The increase in inter-communal tensions and acts of violence in some regions is a cause for concern and represents a step backwards in the protection of human rights.

4. Persistence of challenges

   Despite the progress made, persistent challenges are hindering a more meaningful improvement in human dignity. Persistent inequalities, political conflicts, and environmental challenges continue to pose significant obstacles.

Taking these aspects into account, a score of 3 out of 5 reflects a situation where progress has been made in some areas, but where persistent challenges and regressive trends require continued attention and sustained efforts to promote human dignity on a global scale.

B. Claude

Based on the latest analysis provided, with concrete examples illustrating regressions, stagnations and some timid progress on each of the 10 key criteria of human dignity, I would maintain my overall summary score at 2/5 for the period from June 2023 to May 2024.

The main reasons for this concern rating are as follows:

1. Alarming regression on fundamental rights such as security, freedom of conscience and respect for history, with numerous cases of violence, restrictions and denials in different parts of the world.

2. Stagnation, or even slight worsening, of major scourges such as food insecurity, widespread corruption, and the resurgence of sectarian excesses that continue to affect millions of lives.

3. Only a few timid advances have been made in areas such as renewable energy, gender equality and access to healthcare. But this progress remains very limited and localized.

4. Glaring inequalities, undignified treatment and deprivation of basic rights persist for huge segments of the world's population.

This score of 2/5 therefore reflects an overall situation which, despite some glimmers of hope in places, remains deeply worrying in terms of effective respect for fundamental human dignity. Sporadic progress is largely offset by regression and culpable indifference to human suffering.

Ensuring a dignified life for all, while respecting inalienable rights, remains a major challenge for the coming year in view of this bleak trend. Significant progress in many areas seems essential if there is to be any hope of real improvement in the future.

C. Mistral

Based on the updates and examples provided for each of the 10 criteria, I would give an overall summary score of 2.8 out of 5. This note reflects the progress made in some areas, such as gender equality and the fight against corruption, but also the significant challenges and setbacks in other areas, such as respect for the right to health, safety and the major natural balances.

Although efforts have been made to improve the situation in many areas, it is clear that significant challenges remain and that further progress needs to be made to ensure respect for and protection of human dignity in the world. This policy brief therefore reflects the need for concerted action and a renewed commitment to the promotion and protection of human rights in all areas.

D. Evolution of the general indicator

The previous general indicator scores were as follows:

2022

★★⯪☆☆

2,53

2023

★★★⯪☆

3,30

2024

★★⯪☆☆

2,50

For 2024, the average of the three Ais is :

2024

★★⯪☆☆

2,6

AIs therefore see a regression of the indicator compared to 2023 and a return to the value of 2022.

How can we explain that AIs do not provide the same score and what conclusion can we draw from this also with regard to our first question?

The differences in AI proposals can be attributed to several factors inherent in their design and operation. The last two conversations shed light on these aspects and allow us to better understand why AIs can have differentiated propositions.

First, AIs have specific approaches and knowledge. Each AI is trained on different data sets, which means they may have specialized knowledge in certain areas or particular cognitive biases. For example, one AI may have been trained on medical data, while another may have been trained on environmental data, which would make them more inclined to give different answers on specific topics.

Second, AIs interpret data differently. Even if they have access to the same information, their ability to process that information may vary. The algorithms and the learning models on which they are based may assign different weights to certain types of data or interpret correlations differently, leading to divergent conclusions.

Third, constant updates and variable contexts influence AIs' proposals. If an AI is updated with more recent information or uses different sources of information, its proposals may differ from those of another AI that has not benefited from these updates. Additionally, the contexts in which questions are asked can vary, which can also influence the answers.

Finally, the cognitive biases and analysis angles specific to each AI play an important role. Even though AIs aim to be objective, they can develop distinct cognitive or philosophical biases depending on their training and the data they are trained on. These biases can influence their perspectives and lead to differentiated proposals.

In conclusion, the differentiated proposals of AIs derive from their very construction and the factors that influence them. Understanding these differences is key to critically interpreting their responses and recognizing that each AI brings a unique perspective to the topics it addresses.

AIs therefore do not seem so different to us, because, even though they benefit from greater access to information, greater ease of information processing and structured restitution, they require training.

Nevertheless, AIs do not seem to suffer from limited rationality (in the sense of Herbert SIMON and the proposals of Henry MINTZBERG and Jean-Louis LE MOIGNE).

Focus on the concept of bounded rationality

Limited rationality suggests that individuals face cognitive, time, and informational constraints when making decisions. Contrary to the idea of perfect rationality, this theory recognizes the inherent limitations of the human ability to process information. Here are some key points about bounded rationality:

- Different view of the decision
  For Herbert Simon, decision-making is not just a simple cost/benefit calculation to optimize an expected utility. Instead, our behavior is more about satisfaction than optimization. This approach differs from the classical view of Homo economicus, which postulates an absolute and computational rationality.

- Procedural rationality
  True rationality lies in the decision-making process itself. It is not just the final choice that is rational, but the entire process. The crucial phase of "problem intelligence" is essential in this context.

- Model I/M/C
  Herbert Simon's model, decomposed by Henry Mintzberg et al., highlights the loops of the decision-making process. These iterations and feedbacks between the three phases (intelligence, modeling, and choice) are at the heart of bounded rationality.

In short, bounded rationality pushes us to make do with the limited information and cognitive resources we have at any given time, rather than seeking absolute optimization.

Focus on Herbert SIMON

Herbert SIMON is considered to be one of the major contributors to Artificial Intelligence (see GOBET 2002, see PITRAT 2002).

It is from the joint work of H. SIMON and A. NEWELL (see the definition of procedures and heuristics in the Logic *Theorist program*) that this branch of computer science was able to move away from *simple* computational algorithms to focus on the representation of knowledge, the formal logic of reasoning (NEWELL, 1982) and heuristics (approximate solutions, pairings, redundancy, etc.) and now pattern recognition, neural networks).

CONCLUSIONS

What relationship with ethics can we draw from this whole exercise?

This exercise highlights several important aspects of ethics related to the use of AI.

First, it highlights the importance of transparency in AI data processing and decision-making processes. Users need to be aware of the sources of information, algorithms, and learning models used by AIs to produce their responses. This transparency is essential to build trust between users and AIs and to ensure that decisions made are fair and equitable.

Secondly, this exercise shows that AIs can have their own biases and angles of analysis, which can influence their responses. It is therefore important to take these biases into account and correct them where possible, in order to avoid discrimination and inequality.

In addition, this exercise highlights the importance of diversity of perspectives and approaches in assessing the criteria of human dignity. AIs can offer complementary insights into complex topics, which can help make more informed and balanced decisions.

Finally, this exercise highlights the need for critical reflection and in-depth analysis in the evaluation of human dignity criteria. Users should be aware of the limitations of AIs and be willing to challenge their answers when necessary.

In sum, this exercise highlights the importance of ethics in the use of AI, highlighting the need for transparency, correction of biases, diversity of perspectives, critical thinking, and in-depth analysis.

Overall Annual Results

A. Agreement and disagreement between AI

Criteria

Food security	Disagreement
Restoration of the great natural balances	Disagreement
Respect for the right to security	Disagreement
Effectiveness of the fight against corruption	Agreement
Respect for the right to health	Disagreement
Respect for the right to culture and education	Disagreement
Respect for the right to memory and history	Disagreement
Respect for the right to equality between women and men	Agreement
Respect for freedom of conscience	Agreement
Sectarian upsurge	Disagreement

B. Accords et désaccords entre les IA et les Francs-Maçons[1]

Criteria

Food security	Disagreement
Restoration of the great natural balances	Disagreement
Respect for the right to security	Disagreement
Effectiveness of the fight against corruption	Disagreement
Respect for the right to health	Disagreement
Respect for the right to culture and education	Disagreement
Respect for the right to memory and history	Disagreement
Respect for the right to equality between women and men	Agreement
Respect for freedom of conscience	Disagreement
Sectarian upsurge	Disagreement

AIs were more in agreement with each other (3/10) than Freemasons were with AIs (1/10).

---

[1] … present and voting at the 63rd AGM

Contact: aquarius@glsrep.fr